

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/510,480	10/07/2004	Masatoshi lio	50340-174 7440		
7:	590 11/02/2006	EXAMINER			
McDermott Will & Emery			BASICHAS, ALFRED		
600 13th Street N W Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
5 ,			3749		
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		10/510,480		IIO, MASATOSHI					
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Alfred Basichas		3749				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DA of 37 CFR 1.13 nunication. atutory period wi will, by statute,	TE OF THIS COMMU 6(a). In no event, however, may Il apply and will expire SIX (6) No cause the application to become	NICATION y a reply be time MONTHS from the ABANDONED	ely filed the mailing date of this o (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 29 Jui	ne 2005.						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction	on and/or e	lection requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* 5	ee the attached detailed Office actio	n for a list o	of the certified copies n	not received	i.				
Attachmen	• •		🗖 .		DTO 440				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		w Summary (l No(s)/Mail Dat						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
Pape	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/07/04</u> . 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/510,480

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

a. Species I -- Fig. 1

b. Species II -- Fig. 6

c. Species III -- Fig. 8

The species are independent or distinct because they disclose distinct structure.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/510,480

Art Unit: 3749

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Basichas whose telephone number is 571 272 4871. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday during regular business hours.

Art Unit: 3749

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center telephone number is 571 272 3700.

October 26, 2006

Alfred Basichas Primary Examiner